arXiv:1212.0415v1 [math.AG] 3 Dec 2012

ON THE MINIMUM DISTANCE AND THE MINIMUM WEIGHT OF GOPPA CODES FROM A QUOTIENT OF THE HERMITIAN CURVE

EDOARDO BALLICO AND ALBERTO RAVAGNANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the geometry of many evaluation codes on the plane quotients Y_m of the Hermitian curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ by the equations $y^q + y = x^m$, m being a proper divisor of $q+1$. We either find out their dual minimum distance, or give a lower bound for it. In many cases we completely describe the minimum-weight codewords and give their number. Finally, we apply our result to certain classical Goppa codes on Y_m, such as one-point and two-point codes.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminary definitions and results 2
3. Uncomplete codes 3
4. Complete codes: the case $E = \emptyset$ 4
5. Complete codes: the general case 7
6. Goppa codes of classical interest 9
References 11

1. INTRODUCTION

Let q be a prime power and let $\mathbb{P}^2$ denote the projective plane over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of homogeneous coordinates $(x : y : z)$. Choose a positive divisor of $q+1$, say m, and consider the curve Y_m defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ by the affine equation $y^q + y = x^m$. If $m = q+1$ then Y_m turns out to be the Hermitian curve $Y_{q+1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$. We studied the geometry of Goppa codes on it in [1]. In this paper we focus on the more complicated case $m \neq q+1$. In this situation Y_m has exactly one point at infinity,

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14G15; 14H99; 14N05.

Key words and phrases. Quotient Hermitian curve; Goppa code; minimum-weight codeword; evaluation code.

Partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA.

namely $P_\infty = (1:0:0)$, which is also the only singular point of Y_m. In fact, many problems in describing codes on Y_m arise from the fact that this curve is not smooth. The normalization, say C_m, of Y_m is a maximal curve and it is well-known that $\sharp(Y_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})) = 1+q(1+(q-1)m)$. The geometric genus of Y_m (which is by definition the geometric genus of C_m) is $\frac{(q-1)(m-1)}{2}$ (see [13], Example 6.4.2 at page 234). Since the normalization morphism $\pi : C_m \to Y_m$ is injective, define $Q_\infty \in C_m$ by $\pi(Q_\infty) = P_\infty$. Finally, by [13], Proposition 6.4.1, for any integer $r \geq 0$ the monomials $x^i y^j$ such that

$$i \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq q-1, \quad qi + mj \leq r \tag{1}$$

form a basis of the vector space $L(rQ_\infty)$.

The dimension of two-point codes on Y_m is deeply studied in [3]. For the computation of several Weierstrass semigroups on this curve see [6] and [9].

Notations 1. For the sake of practicity, we will assume that q and m are fixed. Hence we will always wite Y and C instead of Y_m and C_m.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

In this section we introduce two classes of evaluation codes on a quotient $Y = Y_m$ of the Hermitian curve and discuss their basic properties.

Definition 2. Let $E \subseteq Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ be a zero-dimensional scheme (the case $E = \emptyset$ if of course considered). Fix an integer $d > 0$ and set $B := Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus (E \cup \{P_\infty\})_{red}$. The **uncomplete** code $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)$ will be the code obtained evaluating the vector space $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d))$ on the set B. The **complete** code $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)$ will be the code obtained evaluating the vector space $H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(d))(\pi^{-1}(-E)))$ on $\pi^{-1}(B)$.

The aim of this paper is to study the minimum distance and the minimum-weight codewords of codes of type $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)^\perp$ and $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^\perp$.

Remark 3. In Section 6 we will show that many codes on Y of classical interest (such as Goppa one-point and two-point codes) can be easily study as $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)$ codes.

Proposition 4. Let $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)$ and $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)$ be as in Definition 2. If $d < q$ then $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)$ is a subcode of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)$. The minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^\perp$ is at least the minimum distance of $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)^\perp$.

Proof. Since $d < q$, the restriction (and pull-back) map

$$\rho_{d,E} : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d)) \to H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(d))(\pi^{-1}(-E)))$$

is injective. The result about the minimum distances follows from classical coding theory. $\square$

We conclude the section with a technical result about zero-dimensional subschemes of the projective plane.

Lemma 5. Fix integers $d > 0$, $z \geq 2$ and a zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\deg(Z) = z$.

(a) If $z \leq d+1$, then $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.
(b) If $d+2 \leq z \leq 2d+1$, then $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_Z(d)) > 0$ if and only if there is a line L such that $\deg(L \cap Z) \geq d+2$.

Proof. See [1], the first two parts of Lemma 2 or even [2], Lemma 34. □

3. UNCOMPLETE CODES

Let us study in details uncomplete codes on a quotient Y of the Hermitian curve.

Remark 6. Let B and $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)$ be as in Definition 2. Notice that the lenght of such a code is exactly $1+q+q^2m-qm-\sharp\big((E \cup \{Q_\infty\})_{red}\big)$. Indeed, there is no global section in $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d))$ vanishing at all the points of B.

Lemma 7. Let B and $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)$ be as in Definition 2. Assume $\deg(E) \leq d+1$. Let $S \subseteq B$ be a subset. There exists a codeword of $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)^\perp$ whose support is contained in S if and only if $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_{E\cup S}) > 0$.

Proof. First of all, we have $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d)) = 0$. To prove this fact, assume $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d)) > 0$. Lemma 5 gives the existence of a line $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\deg(L \cap E) \geq d+2$, which is absurd because $\deg(E) \leq d+1$. The set S imposes independent conditions to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d))$ if and only if $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_{E\cup S}(d)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d)) = 0$ (here we use $E \cap S = \emptyset$ and the first part of the proof). Hence S imposes dependent conditions to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d))$ (i.e. the set S contains the support of a codeword of $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)^\perp$) if and only if $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_{E\cup S}(d)) > 0$. □

Proposition 8. Let $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)$ be as in Definition 2. Assume $d \leq m-2$ and $\deg(E) \leq d-1$. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be a codeword of $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)^\perp$ and let S be the support of $\mathbf{w}$. Assume $\deg(E \cup S) \leq 2d+1$.

(1) There exists a line $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $S \subseteq D$ and $\deg((E \cup S) \cap D) \geq d+2$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{w}$ is a minimum-weight codeword then $S \subseteq D$ and $\sharp(S) = d+2-\deg(E \cap D)$.
(2) Any $S_2 \subseteq S \cap D$ with $\sharp(S_2) = d+2-\deg(E \cap D)$ is the support of a codeword of $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)^\perp$ of weight $d+2-\deg(E \cap D)$.

Proof. By Lemma 7 we have $h^1(\mathscr{I}_{E\cup S}(d)) > 0$. Since $\deg(E \cup S) \leq 2d+1$, Lemma 5 gives the existence of a line $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\deg(D \cap (E \cup$

$S)) \geq d+2$. Since $\deg(E) \leq d-1$, we have $\sharp(S \cap D) \geq 3$. Since any point of S is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, D is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ itself. Let $S_1 \subseteq S \cap D$ be any subset with cardinality at least $d+2-\deg(E \cap D)$; we just saw that we may take $S_1 = S \cap D$. Since $\deg(D \cap (S_1 \cup E)) \geq d+2$, we must have $h^1(\mathscr{I}_{S_1 \cup E}(d)) > 0$ (Lemma 5 again). By Lemma 7 there exists a subset $S_2 \subseteq S_1$ which is the support of a codeword $\mathbf{w}'$ of $\mathscr{B}(d,-E)^\perp$. The first part of the proof gives the existence of a line $R \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\deg(R \cap (S_2 \cup E)) \geq d+2$. Assume for the moment $R \neq D$. Since $S_2 \subseteq D$, we have $\sharp(R \cap S_2) \leq 1$. Hence $\deg(R \cap E) \geq d+1 > \deg(E)$, a contradiction. Hence $D = R$. □

4. COMPLETE CODES: THE CASE $E = \emptyset$

This section and the following one are devoted to the more interesting class of complete codes $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)$ on Y. When $E = \emptyset$ we will simply write $\mathscr{C}(d)$ instead of $\mathscr{C}(d,\emptyset)$. We begin our analysis studying $\mathscr{C}(d)$ codes, whose geometry is quite simple. In a second time (Section 5) we will consider the general case ad be able to extend our results to $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)$ codes.

Lemma 9. Consider a $\mathscr{C}(d)$ code as in the previous discussion. Assume $d \leq m-2$. The minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ is $d+2$ and $d+2$ points in the support of a minimum weight codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ are collinear.

Proof. First of all, note that $d+2$ points lying on the intersection of $Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$ with a horizontal line of the form $y = a$ with $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \{0\}$ contain the support of a codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ (here we use $d \leq m-2$ for the existence of such $d+2$ points). Hence the minimum distance of this code is at most $d+2$. Consider the restriction (and pull-back) map

$$\rho_d : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)) \to H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(d))).$$

Since $d < q$ we have that ρ_d in injective. Let $S \subseteq C(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$ be a finite subset of points. Since $\pi^{-1}(P_\infty) = \{Q_\infty\}$ we have $\sharp(S) = \sharp(\pi(S))$. If the set S imposes dependent conditions to $H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y))$ (i.e. if $\pi(S)$ contains the support of a non-zero codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$) then of course it imposes dependent conditions also to $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_d)$. By the injectivity of ρ_d we have that $\pi(S)$ imposes dependent conditions to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d))$, i.e. $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_{\pi(S)}) > 0$. If S is the support of a minimum-weight codeword we have $\sharp(S) \leq d+2$ (by the first part of the proof). Moreover, the weight of the codeword is exctly $\sharp(S)$. Lemma 5 gives $\sharp(S) \geq d+2$ and that S is contained into a plane line. This proves that the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d)$ is exactly $d+2$ and $d+2$ points of the support of a minimum-weight codeword must be collinear. □

Notice that if we drop the assumption $d \le m-2$ the proof of Lemma 9 does not work. In fact, the result is false when $d > m-2$, as it can be seen by the following explicit example.

Example 10. Set $q := 5$, $m := 2$ and $d := 1$. By writing a simple `MAGMA` program (the source code is similar to that of Example 15 below) it can be seen that the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ is $4 \ne d+2$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{25} = \langle a \rangle$, with $a^2+4a+2=0$. Then there exists a minimum-weight codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ whose support consists of the following four points.

$$(a^3, a^{11}),\ (a^{21}, a^{22}),\ (a^9, a^{23}),\ (0,0).$$

No three points of them are collinear.

Take the setup of Lemma 9. From the proof it is clear that the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ is $d+2$ and any $d+2$ points lying on the intersection of Y and a horizontal line are the support of a minimum-weight codeword. It is also clear that $d+2$ points in the support of a minimum-weight codeword must be collinear. We are going to show that if $c := (q+1)/m \ge 3$ then $d+2$ points which are collinear but not lying on a horizontal line cannot be the support of a minimum-weight codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$. This result will lead to the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$.

Remark 11. Let $i : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the inclusion of Y in the projective plane, $\pi : C \to Y$ the normalization and $u := C \to \mathbb{P}^2$ the morphism defined by $u := i \circ \pi$. The vector space $V \subseteq L(qQ_\infty)$ spanned by $\{1, x, y\}$ is the linear system on C (via pull-back through π) inducing u. Set $c := (q+1)/m$ and observe that the conditions of (1) in Section 1 give

$$\dim L(qQ_\infty) = h^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(1))) = c+1. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, $\{1, x, y, ..., y^{c-1}\}$ form a basis of the vector space $L(qQ_\infty)$. Assume $c \ge 3$, i.e. $V \subsetneq L(qQ_\infty)$, and consider the linear system $W \subseteq L(qQ_\infty)$ spanned by $\{1, x, y, y^2\}$. Since $W \supseteq V$ and V has no base points, W has no base points itself. Hence it induces a morphism $v : C \to \mathbb{P}^3$. Since u is injective and $W \supseteq V$, also v is injective. Since u has non-zero-differential at each point of $C \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$, v has non-zero differential at each point of $C \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$. The curve $T := v(C) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is non-degenerate, $v : C \to T$ is injective and an isomorphism, except at most at $v(Q_\infty)$. Let $\ell_{v(Q_\infty)} : \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \{v(Q_\infty)\} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ denote the linear projection from $v(Q_\infty)$. Since $u(Q_\infty) = (1:0:0)$, the rational map $\ell_{v(Q_\infty)} : T \dashrightarrow E$ is induced by $(1, y, y^2)$ and the image $\ell_{v(Q_\infty)}(T \setminus \{v(Q_\infty)\})$ is contained into a plane conic E. Hence T is contained in a quadric cone Γ with $v(Q_\infty)$ as its vertex. Notice that the fibers of the rational map $\ell_{v(Q_\infty)} : T \dashrightarrow E$ are (outside Q_∞) the elements of $|mQ_\infty|$. Take any line $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that

$\sharp(D \cap v(C)) \geq 3$. Bezout theorem gives $D \subseteq \Gamma$. Hence $v(Q_\infty) \in D$ and $v(C) \cap (D \setminus \{v(Q_\infty)\})$ is an element of $|mQ_\infty|$.

Remark 11 proves in fact the following result.

Lemma 12. Take the setup of the previous discussion. Take any 3 distinct points $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in C(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$ such that $v(P_1)$, $v(P_2)$ and $v(P_3)$ are collinear. Then $u(P_1), u(P_2), u(P_3)$ lie on an horizontal line of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Theorem 13. Consider a $\mathscr{C}(d)$ code on Y. Assume $d \leq m-2$ and $c = (q+1)/m \geq 3$. The following facts hold.

1. The minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ is $d+2$.
2. A set $S \subseteq C(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$ is the support of a minimum-weight codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ if and only if $\pi(S)$ consists of $d+2$ points lying on a horizontal line.
3. The number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ is
$$(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d+2}.$$

Proof. Part (1) is a particular case of Lemma 9. Now we prove part (2). By Lemma 9 the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ is $d+2$ and $d+2$ points in the support of a minimum-weight codeword have to be collinear. Assume that $S := \{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_{d+2}\}$ is contained into a plane line which is not horizontal. If S is the support of a codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d)^\perp$ then it is the support of a minimum-weight codeword. By Lemma 5 the points $P_4, \dots, P_{d+2}$ impose independent conditions to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-1))$. Moreover, there exists a degree $d-1$ plane curve, say X, which contains $P_4, \dots, P_{d+2}$ but does not contain neither P_1 or P_2 or P_3. Hence it is enough to show that P_1, P_2 and P_3 impose independent conditions to $H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(1))$, and this follows from Remark 11 and Lemma 12. To get part (3) keep on mind Remark 16 and observe that the minimum-weight codewords of a code having a fixed support form a subspace of dimension one (by definition of minimum distance of the code). ☐

Remark 14. Note that if we remove the assumption $c \geq 3$ the proof of Lemma 12 does not work. In fact, Theorem 13 is false when $c = 2$, as it can be seen by the following Example 15.

Example 15. In our usual notations choose $q := 5$, $m := 3$ and $d := 1$. It follows $c = (q+1)/m = 2$. Let $\mathscr{C}(1)$ be the code obtained evaluating the vector space $H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(1)))$ on the set $\pi^{-1}(B)$. A basis of this vector space is $\{1, x, y\}$. The following `MAGMA` program constructs the code $\mathscr{C}(1)^\perp$, prints a random minimum-weight codeword and its support.

```
q:=5;
m:=3;
F<a>:=GF(q^2);
A<x,y>:=AffineSpace(F,2);
f:=y^q+y-x^m;
X:=Curve(A,f);
pts:=Points(X);
npts:=#pts;
P<u,v>:=PolynomialRing(F,2);
B:=[ [0,0], [0,1],  [1,0]];
nf:=3;
rows:=[];
for i in [1..nf] do;
Append(~rows,[]);
end for;
for j in [1..nf] do;
for i in [1..npts] do;
Append(~rows[j], Evaluate(
u^B[j][1]*v^B[j][2],
[pts[i][1],pts[i][2]]));
end for;
end for;
RR:=[];
for i in [1..nf] do;
for j in [1..npts] do;
RR[npts*(i-1)+j]:=rows[i][j];
end for;
end for;
G:=Matrix(F,nf,npts,RR);
C:=LinearCode(G);
D:=Dual(C);
mww:=MinimumWord(D);
supp:=[];
for j in [1..npts] do;
if mww[j] ne 0 then Append(
~supp, [pts[j][1],pts[j][2]]);
end if;
end for;
mww;
supp;
```

On our Linux machine (Processor AMD Turion, 32 bits) the output is the following.

```
( 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 a^14 a^15 )

[ 1, a ],
[ a^22, a^23 ],
[ 0, 0 ]
```

It is immediately seen that the points in the support lie on the line of equation $y = ax$, which is not a horizontal one (here a is the primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{25}$ chosen by the program to construct the field).

5. COMPLETE CODES: THE GENERAL CASE

In this section we discuss the general case of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)$ codes, extending our previous results.

Remark 16. Let D_0 be the plane line of equation $y = 0$. This line intersects Y only at $(0:0:1)$ with multiplicity m. We denote by Λ the set of all the plane horizontal line different from D_0 and from the line at infinity D_∞. Finally, denote by Θ the set of the other plane lines defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ which do not pass through P_∞. Define $\alpha_1 := \max_{D\in\Lambda} \deg(D \cap E)$ and $\alpha_2 := \max_{D\in\Theta} \deg(D \cap E)$.

Remark 17. Note that α_1 is in fact easy to compute. Indeed, the geometry of Y implies $\alpha_1 = \max_{D \in \Lambda} \sharp(D \cap E_{red})$. Finally, note also that $\sharp(\Lambda) = q - 1$.

Theorem 18. *Let $\mathscr{C}(d, -E)$ be as in Definition 2. Assume $\deg(E) \leq d-1$ and $d \leq m-2$.*

1. *The minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$ is greater or equal than $d+2-\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$.*
2. *If $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ then the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$ is exactly $d+2-\alpha_1$ and the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$ is at least $(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d+2-\alpha_1}$.*
3. *Assume $d \leq m-4$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $d \geq \alpha_1 + 1$. Then the support of any minimum-weight codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$ is contained into a horizontal line $L \in \Lambda$. Moreover, the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$ is exactly $(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d+2-\alpha_1}$.*

Proof. Since $d < q$, the restriction (and pull-back) map

$$\rho_{d,E} : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d)) \to H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(d))(\pi^{-1}(-E)))$$

is injective. Let S be the support of a minimum-weight codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$. In this situation the weight of the codeword is exactly $\sharp(S)$ and not only less or equal to $\sharp(S)$. By definition, S imposes dependent conditions to $H^0(C, \pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(d))(\pi^{-1}(-E)))$ and also to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E(d))$ (by the injectivity of $\rho_{d,E}$). Hence we have $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_{E \cup S}) > h^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_E) = 0$ (as in the proof of Lemma 7). By Lemma 5 there exists a line $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\deg(L \cap (E \cup S)) \geq d+2$. Since $S \cap E = \emptyset$ and L cannot be D_0 or D_∞, we have

$$d+2 \leq \deg(L \cap (E \cup S)) \leq \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} + \sharp(S).$$

It follows $\sharp(S) \geq d+2-\max\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}$. This proves (1). If $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ then by (1) we have that the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$ is at least $d-2-\alpha_1$. Since $d+2-\alpha_1$ points in $Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \cap (L \setminus (L \cap E_{red}))$, for any $L \in \Lambda$, contain the support of a codeword of $\mathscr{C}(d,-E)^{\perp}$, we have that the minimum distance is exactly $d+2-\alpha_1$. The lower bound on the number of the minimum-weight codewords trivially follows. Now we prove (3). If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ then $E = \emptyset$ and the thesis is just Lemma 9. Hence we may assume $\alpha_1 > 0$. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be the (image in $\mathbb{P}^2$) of the support of a codeword with minimum-weight $d+2-\alpha_1$. By Lemma 5 there exists a line $D \in \Lambda \cup \Theta$ such that $S \subseteq D$. Write $S = \{P_1, \dots, P_{d+2-\alpha_1}\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 13 it is sufficient to find a degree $d-1$ plane curve $X \supseteq E \cup \{P_4, \dots, P_{d+2-\alpha_1}\}$ such that $P_i \notin X$ for $i = 1,2,3$. Set $A := \{P_4, \dots, P_{d+2-\alpha_1}\}$. Let $\mathrm{Res}_D(A \cup E)$ denote the residual scheme of $A \cup E$ with respect to D, i.e. the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with $\mathscr{I}_{A \cup E} : \mathscr{I}_D$

as its ideal sheaf (we recall that $\mathrm{Res}_D(A\cup E) = \mathrm{Res}_D(E)$, because $A \subseteq D$, and $\deg(\mathrm{Res}_D(E)) = \deg(E) - \deg(D \cap E)$). We have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{Res}_D(E)}(d-2) \to \mathscr{I}_{A\cup E} \to \mathscr{I}_{A\cup(E\cap D),D}(d-1) \to 0 \tag{3}$$

Observe that $\deg(\mathrm{Res}_D(E)) - \alpha_1 \le d-2$. So we use [2], Lemma 34 in order to compute $h^1(\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{Res}_D(E)}(d-2)) = 0$. Hence the restriction map

$$\rho : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_{E\cup A}(d-1)) \to H^0(D, \mathscr{I}_{A\cup(E\cap D),D}(d-1))$$

turns out to be surjective. Observe that E and each P_i are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Take a degree $d-1$ effective divisor F defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ containing $A\cup(E\cap D)$, but not containing any P_i with $i \le 3$. Let f be an equation of F defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Take $f_1 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_{E\cup A}(d-1))$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and such that $\rho(f_1) = f$. Set $X := \{f_1 = 0\}$. □

6. GOPPA CODES OF CLASSICAL INTEREST

In this section we apply our analysis to classical problems in geometric coding theory. Let q be a prime power and let $\mathbb{P}^k$ be the projective space of dimension k over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Consider a smooth curve $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^k$ and a divisor D on it. Take points $P_1,...,P_n \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which are not in the support of D and set $\overline{D} := \sum_{i=1}^n P_i$. The code $\mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D)$ is defined as the code obtained evaluating the vector space $L(D)$ at the points $P_1,...,P_n$ (see [11]). These codes were introduced in 1981 by Goppa, who was interested in studying their dual codes. Since a quotient $Y = Y_m$ of the Hermitian curve is not a smooth curve, when writing " Goppa code on Y " we mean " Goppa code on C " (the normalization of Y). The points of Y will be identified with those of C through the injectivity of the normalization $\pi : C \to Y$.

Definition 19. Let q be a prime power. We say that codes $\mathscr{C},\mathscr{D}$ on the same field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and of the same lenght are **strongly isometric** if there exists a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of non-zero components such that

$$\mathscr{C} = \mathbf{x}\mathscr{D} := \{(x_1v_1,...,x_nv_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \text{ s.t. } (v_1,...,v_n) \in \mathscr{D}\}.$$

The notation will be $\mathscr{C} \sim \mathscr{D}$ and this definse of course an equivalence relation.

Remark 20. Take the setup of Definition 19. Then $\mathscr{C} \sim \mathscr{D}$ if and only if $\mathscr{C}^\perp \sim \mathscr{D}^\perp$. Indeed, if $\mathscr{C} = \mathbf{x}\mathscr{D}$ then $\mathscr{C}^\perp = \mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathscr{D}^\perp$, where $\mathbf{x}^{-1} := (x_1^{-1},...,x_n^{-1})$. A strongly isometry of codes preserves in fact the minimum distance of a code, its weight distribution and the supports of its codewords.

Remark 21. Take the setup of the beginning of the section. Let D and D' be divisors on X and take points $P_1,...,P_n \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which do not appear neither in the support of D, or in the support of D'. Set $\overline{D} := \sum_{i=0}^{n} P_i$. It is known (see [10], Remark 2.16) that if $D \sim D'$ (as divisors) then $\mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D) \sim \mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D')$. By Remark 20 we have also $\mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D)^{\perp} \sim \mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D')^{\perp}$.

Now we are able to show that many Goppa codes on Y (more precisely, on C) can be studied by using the results of the previous sections by choosing an appropriate representant in their equivalence classes through the geometric properties of the curve itself.

Remark 22. Let $Y = Y_m$ be a quotient of the Hermitian curve over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and denote by $\pi : C \to Y$ its normalization. The curve C carries the following identity vector spaces (see [13], Proposition 6.4.1):

$$L(qQ_\infty) = H^0(C,\pi^*(\mathscr{O}_Y(1))).$$

Moreover, since C is maximal, for any pair of rational points $P,Q \in C(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ we get a linear equivalence $(q+1)P \sim (q+1)Q$ (see for instance [12], Lemma 1).

Corollary 23 (one-point codes)**.** Let r be an integer such that $r \le (m-2)q$ and let $\mathscr{C}_r$ denote the (Goppa) one-point code on Y obtained evaluating the vector space $L(rP_\infty)$ on the set $B := Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P_\infty\}$. Write $r = dq + e$ with $0 \le e \le q-1$ and assume $0 \le e \le d-1$.

1. If $e = 0$ then the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}_r^{\perp}$ is $d+2$ and the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}_r^{\perp}$ is greater or equal than $(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d+2}$. If $(q+1)/m \ge 3$ then the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}_r^{\perp}$ is exactly $(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d+2}$.
2. If $e > 0$ then the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}_r^{\perp}$ is $d+1$ and the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}_r^{\perp}$ is greater or equal than $(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d+1}$.

Proof. By Remark 22 and Remark 21 we have $\mathscr{C}_r \sim \mathscr{C}(d,-eP_\infty)$. Now apply Lemma 9, Theorem 13 and Theorem 18. □

Corollary 24 (two-point codes)**.** Let a,b be integers such that $a+b>0$ and let $P \in Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ be a rational point different from P_∞. Denote by $\mathscr{C}(a,b,P)$ the (Goppa) two-point code on Y obtained evaluating the vector space $L(aP_\infty + bP)$ on the set $B := Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \{P_\infty, P\}$. There always exist integer d,a',b' such that

1. $d > 0$, $a',b' \ge 0$;
2. $aP_\infty + bP \sim dqP_\infty - a'P_\infty - b'P$.

Assume that these integers d,a',b' can be chosen with the properties

(3) $d \leq m-2$;
(4) $0 \leq a' + b' \leq d-1$;
(5) $b' > 0$.

Denote by $P_0 \in Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ the point of coordinates $(0:0:1)$. The following facts hold.

(A) If $a = 0$ and $P = P_0$ then the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(a,b,P)^\perp$ is greater or equal than $d+1$.
(B) If either $a = 0$ and $P \neq P_0$, or $a > 0$ and $P = P_0$ then the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(a,b,P)^\perp$ is exactly $d+1$ and the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}(a,b,P)^\perp$ is at least $(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d+1}$. Moreover, if $d \leq m-4$ the equality holds.
(C) If $a > 0$ and $P \neq P_0$ then the minimum distance of $\mathscr{C}(a,b,P)^\perp$ is exactly d and the number of the minimum-weight codewords of $\mathscr{C}(a,b,P)^\perp$ is at least $(q-1)(q^2-1)\binom{m}{d}$.

Proof. The first part of the proof trivially follows from Remark 22. Set $E := a'P_\infty + b'P$ and observe that $\mathscr{C}(a,b,P) \sim \mathscr{C}(d,-E)$. By Remark 21 we can apply Theorem 18. In the notations of the cited theorem, in case (A) we have $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, in case (B) we have $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ and finally in case (C) we have $\alpha_1 = 2$, $\alpha_2 = 1$. □

Remark 25. Let $\mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D)$ be any non-trivial Goppa code on the curve Y. Let $\{P_1,...,P_r\}$ be the support of D. There always exist integers $d > 0$ and $(a_i)_{i=1}^r$ such that $a_i \geq 0$ for each i and

$$D \sim dqP_\infty - \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i P_i.$$

By setting $E := \sum_{i=1}^r a_i P_i$ we have $\mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D) \sim \mathscr{C}(d,-E)$. Moreover, if $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \leq d-1$ then the code $\mathscr{C}(\overline{D},D)^\perp$ is described in any case by Theorem 18.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Ballico, A. Ravagnani, *On Goppa Codes on the Hermitian Curve*. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.0894`.
[2] A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano and M. Idà, Computing symmetric rank for symmetric tensors. J. Symbolic. Comput. **46** (2011), no. 1, 34–53.
[3] Q. Chen, C. Li, D. Pei, *Dimension of Two-Point codes on the Quotient of Hermitian curves*. IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology, 6, 163–168 (2010).
[4] A. Couvreur, *The dual minimum distance of arbitrary dimensional algebraic-geometric codes*. J. of Algebra **350**(1), 84–107 (2012).
[5] P. Ellia, C. Peskine, *Groupes de points de* $\mathbf{P}^2$*: caractère et position uniforme*. Algebraic geometry (L'Aquila, 1988), 111–116, Lecture Notes in Math., 1417, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[6] A. Garcia, P. Viana, *Weierstrass points on certain non-classical curves*. Arch. Math., 46, 315–322 (1986)
[7] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros, F. Torres, *Algebraic Curves over a Finite Field*. Princeton University Press, 2008.
[8] F. J. MacWilliams, N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting codes*. North Holland Mathematical Library, 1977.
[9] G. L. Matthews, *Weierstrass semigroups and codes from a quotient of the Hermitian curve*. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 37, 473–492 (2005).
[10] C. Munuera, R. Pellikaan, *Equality of geometric Goppa codes and equivalence of divisors*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 90, 229–252 (1993).
[11] S. A. Stepanov, *Codes on Algebraic Curves*. Springer, 1999.
[12] H. G. Ruck, H. Stichtenoth, *A characterization of Hermitian function fields over finite fields*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **457**, 185–188 (1994).
[13] Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, Second Edition. Springer-Verlag, 2009.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO, 38123 POVO (TN), ITALY
E-mail address: `ballico@science.unitn.it`

UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO, 38123 POVO (TN), ITALY
E-mail address: `alberto.ravagnani88@gmail.com`